

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,935	11/01/2001	Robert Eric Montgomery	04163-00120	9955	
7	590 03/18/2003				
John P. Iwani	John P. Iwanicki		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			ROSE, SHEP K		
28th Floor			ROSE, S.	ner K	
28 State Street			. DT 10/17	DADED AUD IDED	
Boston, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) MONTOMORY
Office Action Summary	Examiner S 1888 Ro	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
riod f r Reply		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defended to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory minir	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .
Status	E 6 10 2003	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	(300 /0 2002	•
☐ This action is FINAL.		and the morite is closed in
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	cept for formal matters, pro , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to the ments is closed
Disp sition of Claims		is love pending in the application
Disp sition of Claims G Claim(s)		Is/are perioring in the approximation
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	*	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	,	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	rawing Review, PTO-948.	. U diponoravad
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproved	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examine	•
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	nor	
	nei.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami		•
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		\
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(ies of the priority documents	a)-(d). have been
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED cop	ies of the priority documents	nave been
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED cop	ies of the priority documents Number)	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pric All Some* None of the CERTIFIED cop received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	ies of the priority documents Number) he International Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copports received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from the "Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ies of the priority documents Number) he International Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copports received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from the "Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	ies of the priority documents Number) he International Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)). Interview Summary, PTO-413
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED coppered received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial received in this national stage application from the certified copies not received:	ies of the priority documents Number) he International Bureau (PC	T Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.



Art Unit: 1614

The reply filed on Feb 10 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the USPTO Examiner has been tasked to conduct obvious double patenting rejections by any named applicant, and needs the cooperation of applicant, to request copies of all of his claims, in all of his copending applications, and patents, if they claim any apparatus, device, kits, or other means, that would be material, relevant and important to the issues of patentability, for the purpose of investigation of the issue of obviousness-type double patenting of <u>dual</u> ("first tube" and "second tube") tooth bleaching equipment as in newly presented claims 42 to 70.

In this respect, the Feb, 10, 2003 amendment is <u>objected</u> to for naming applicant, who signed the oath <u>herein</u> as <u>Robert Eric Montgomery</u> (full name of inventor), <u>Robert E. Montgomery</u>, as "<u>R. Eric Montgomery</u>" as signed in copending 10/050,196 since the only tool the USPTO patent examiner has is an <u>Inventor name search</u> for <u>LAST NAME</u> and <u>FIRST NAME</u>.

There are <u>many entries</u> for <u>Montgomery, Robert</u> and for <u>Montgomery, Eric</u> in the Inventor name search, (<u>there is another R.E. Montgomery active in this area</u>), and in both name search fields, some titles <u>seems to be the applicants' and pertinent</u>. The claims of record have been rejected for obviousness-type double patenting on claims in 10/050,196, however, it has come to the undersigned USPTO examiner's attention that <u>this applicant</u> has multiple patent attorneys and possibly <u>more than one assignee</u>, so <u>no one patent attorney</u> may have the complete listing of this applicants claims relevant to

Application/Control Number: 10/039,935

Art Unit: 1614

the obviousness-type double patenting issue, which requires applicant's assistance which is now respectfully requested.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is(703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

SHEP K. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Rose/tgd March 14, 2003

> Shep Rose Senior Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

Application/Control Number: 10/039,935

Art Unit: 1614

The reply filed on Feb 10 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the USPTO Examiner has been tasked to conduct obvious double patenting rejections by any named applicant, and needs the cooperation of applicant, to request copies of all of his claims, in all of his copending applications, and patents, if they claim any apparatus, device, kits, or other means, that would be material, relevant and important to the issues of patentability, for the purpose of investigation of the issue of obviousness-type double patenting of <u>dual</u> ("first tube" and "second tube") tooth bleaching equipment as in newly presented claims 42 to 70.

In this respect, the Feb, 10, 2003 amendment is <u>objected</u> to for naming applicant, who signed the oath as <u>Robert Eric Montgomery</u> (full name of inventor), <u>Robert E.</u>

Montgomery, as "R. Eric Montgomery" since the only tool the USPTO patent examiner has is an <u>Inventor name search</u> for <u>LAST NAME</u> and <u>FIRST NAME</u>.

And for Montgomery ERIC

There are many entries for Montgomery, Robert in the Inventor name search, in both name search free to there is another R.E. Montgomery active in this area, and search, some titles seems to the applicants' and pertinent. The claims of record have been rejected for obviousness-type double patenting on claims in 10/050,196, however, it has come to the undersigned USPTO examiner's attention that this applicant has multiple patent attorneys and possibly more than one assignee, so no one patent attorney may have the complete listing of this applicants claims relevant to the obviousness-type double patenting issue, which requires applicant's assistance which is now respectfully requested.

Art Unit: 1614

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is(703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Rose/tgd March 14, 2003

> Shep Rose Senior Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

Application/Control Number: 10/039,935

Art Unit: 1614

The reply filed on Feb 10 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the USPTO Examiner has been tasked to conduct obvious double patenting rejections by any named applicant, and needs the cooperation of applicant, to request copies of all of his claims, in all of his copending applications, and patents, if they claim any apparatus, device, kits, or other means, that would be material, relevant and important to the issues of patentability, for the purpose of investigation of the issue of obviousness-type double patenting of <u>dual</u> ("first tube" and "second tube") tooth bleaching equipment as in newly presented claims 42 to 70.

In this respect, the Feb, 10, 2003 amendment is <u>objected</u> to for naming applicant, who signed the oath as <u>Robert Eric Montgomery</u> (full name of inventor), <u>Robert E.</u>

<u>Montgomery</u>, as "<u>R. Eric Montgomery"</u> since the only tool the USPTO patent examiner has is an <u>Inventor name search</u> for <u>LAST NAME</u> and <u>FIRST NAME</u>.

There are <u>many entries</u> for <u>Montgomery</u>, <u>Robert</u> in the Inventor name search, there is another R.E. <u>Montgomery active in this area</u>, and search, some titles <u>seems to the applicants' and pertinent</u>. The claims of record have been rejected for obviousness-type double patenting on claims in 10/050,196, however, it has come to the undersigned USPTO examiner's attention that <u>this applicant</u> has multiple patent attorneys and possibly <u>more than one assignee</u>, so <u>no one patent attorney</u> may have the complete listing of this applicants claims relevant to the obviousness-type double patenting issue, which requires applicant's assistance which is now respectfully requested.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shep Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-4609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday 7:30am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is(703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Rose/tgd March 14, 2003

> Shep Rose Senior Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614